Communications Security Establishment Canada

Centre de la sécurité des télécommunications Canada

P.O. Box 9703 Terminal Ottawa, Canada K1G 3Z4 C.P. 9703 Terminus Ottawa, Canada K1G 3Z4

SECRET//SI

Your File / Votre référence
OCSEC File # 3400-13
Our File/ Notre référence
CERRID # 33961245

16 March 2017

William Galbraith, Executive Director Office of the CSE Commissioner 90 Sparks Street, Suite 730 P.O. Box 1984, Station B Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5B4

Subject: CSE Information Sharing with the United States' National Security Agency (NSA)

Dear Mr. Galbraith:

I am writing in response to your 15 February 2017 letter requesting information regarding "NSA's ongoing commitment to treat CSE and Canadian information consistent with CSE's legal and policy framework" in light of two recent US Executive Orders. In particular, your letter referred to section 2.3 of US Executive Order 12333 relating to the provision of raw SIGINT to the US Intelligence Community (USIC), and section 14 of the 25 January 2017 US Executive Order on Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States.

In relation to section 2.3 of US Executive Order 12333,

Furthermore, CSE requested an update from NSA to confirm that processes are in place to ensure that

NSA confirmed to CSE's Liaison Officer that





s.13(1)(a)

SECRET//SI

NSA further confirmed that

In relation to section 14 of the 25 January 2017 US Executive Order on Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, it is important to note that this Executive Order is focused on the implementation of immigration laws within the US. As such, CSE does not expect it to impact NSA's commitment to the Five Eyes agreements. For additional certainty, CSE also sought confirmation from NSA that this Executive Order will not have an impact on the Five Eyes relationships and agreements. NSA validated this assessment to CSE's Liaison Officer and reiterated NSA's commitment to the longstanding Five Eyes principle to protect the privacy of each other's nationals as a cornerstone of the Five Eyes SIGINT relationship. NSA further added that the US Congress fully appreciates the Five Eyes relationship, and believes that trust, collaboration, and sharing are critical to its continued efficacy.

I hope that this response has addressed your concerns. CSE will continue to work with your office to provide any additional assurances or explanations as necessary.

Sincerely,

Dominic Rochon

Deputy Chief, Policy and Communications

Pages 3 to / à 4 are withheld pursuant to sections sont retenues en vertu des articles

15(1) - DEF, 15(1) - IA

of the Access to Information de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information

CONFIDENTIAL//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

From:

Sent:

January-30-17 10:28 AM

To: Cc:

Subject:

Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

I received a question on how this Executive Order (attached) from President Trump will affect us. In particular Section 14:

"Privacy Act. Agencies shall, to the extent consistent with applicable law, ensure that their privacy policies exclude persons who are not United States citizens or lawful permanent residents from the protections of the Privacy Act regarding personally identifiable information."

Do we have a position?



House.docx



CONFIDENTIAL//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

The White House Office of the Press Secretary For Immediate Release January 25, 2017

Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States

EXECUTIVE ORDER

ENHANCING PUBLIC SAFETY IN THE INTERIOR OF THE UNITED STATES

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.), and in order to ensure the public safety of the American people in communities across the United States as well as to ensure that our Nation's immigration laws are faithfully executed, I hereby declare the policy of the executive branch to be, and order, as follows:

Section 1. Purpose. Interior enforcement of our Nation's immigration laws is critically important to the national security and public safety of the United States. Many aliens who illegally enter the United States and those who overstay or otherwise violate the terms of their visas present a significant threat to national security and public safety. This is particularly so for aliens who engage in criminal conduct in the United States.

Sanctuary jurisdictions across the United States willfully violate Federal law in an attempt to shield aliens from removal from the United States. These jurisdictions have caused immeasurable harm to the American people and to the very fabric of our Republic.

Tens of thousands of removable aliens have been released into communities across the country, solely because their home countries refuse to accept their repatriation. Many of these aliens are criminals who have served time in our Federal, State, and local jails. The presence of such individuals in the United States, and the practices of foreign nations that refuse the repatriation of their nationals, are contrary to the national interest.

Although Federal immigration law provides a framework for Federal-State partnerships in enforcing our immigration laws to ensure the removal of aliens who have no right to be in the United States, the Federal Government has failed to discharge this basic sovereign responsibility. We cannot faithfully execute the immigration laws of the United States if we exempt classes or categories of removable aliens from potential enforcement. The purpose of this order is to direct executive departments and agencies (agencies) to employ all lawful means to enforce the immigration laws of the United States.

- Sec. 2. Policy. It is the policy of the executive branch to:
- (a) Ensure the faithful execution of the immigration laws of the United States, including the INA, against all removable aliens, consistent with Article II, Section 3 of the United States Constitution and section 3331 of title 5, United States Code;
- (b) Make use of all available systems and resources to ensure the efficient and faithful execution of the immigration laws of the United States;
- (c) Ensure that jurisdictions that fail to comply with applicable Federal law do not receive Federal funds, except as mandated by law;
- (d) Ensure that aliens ordered removed from the United States are promptly removed; and
- (e) Support victims, and the families of victims, of crimes committed by removable aliens.
- Sec. 3. Definitions. The terms of this order, where applicable, shall have the meaning provided by section 1101 of title 8, United States Code.
- Sec. 4. Enforcement of the Immigration Laws in the Interior of the United States. In furtherance of the policy described in section 2 of this order, I hereby direct agencies to employ all lawful means to ensure the faithful execution of the immigration laws of the United States against all removable aliens.
- Sec. 5. Enforcement Priorities. In executing faithfully the immigration laws of the United States, the Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary) shall prioritize for removal those aliens described by the Congress in sections 212(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(6)(C), 235, and 237(a)(2) and (4) of the INA (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(6)(C), 1225, and 1227(a)(2) and (4)), as well as removable aliens who:
- (a) Have been convicted of any criminal offense;
- (b) Have been charged with any criminal offense, where such charge has not been resolved;
- (c) Have committed acts that constitute a chargeable criminal offense;
- (d) Have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation in connection with any official matter or application before a governmental agency;
- (e) Have abused any program related to receipt of public benefits;
- (f) Are subject to a final order of removal, but who have not complied with their legal obligation to depart the United States; or
- (g) In the judgment of an immigration officer, otherwise pose a risk to public safety or national security.

- Sec. 6. Civil Fines and Penalties. As soon as practicable, and by no later than one year after the date of this order, the Secretary shall issue guidance and promulgate regulations, where required by law, to ensure the assessment and collection of all fines and penalties that the Secretary is authorized under the law to assess and collect from aliens unlawfully present in the United States and from those who facilitate their presence in the United States.
- Sec. 7. Additional Enforcement and Removal Officers. The Secretary, through the Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, shall, to the extent permitted by law and subject to the availability of appropriations, take all appropriate action to hire 10,000 additional immigration officers, who shall complete relevant training and be authorized to perform the law enforcement functions described in section 287 of the INA (8 U.S.C. 1357).
- Sec. 8. Federal-State Agreements. It is the policy of the executive branch to empower State and local law enforcement agencies across the country to perform the functions of an immigration officer in the interior of the United States to the maximum extent permitted by law.
- (a) In furtherance of this policy, the Secretary shall immediately take appropriate action to engage with the Governors of the States, as well as local officials, for the purpose of preparing to enter into agreements under section 287(g) of the INA (8 U.S.C. 1357(g)).
- (b) To the extent permitted by law and with the consent of State or local officials, as appropriate, the Secretary shall take appropriate action, through agreements under section 287(g) of the INA, or otherwise, to authorize State and local law enforcement officials, as the Secretary determines are qualified and appropriate, to perform the functions of immigration officers in relation to the investigation, apprehension, or detention of aliens in the United States under the direction and the supervision of the Secretary. Such authorization shall be in addition to, rather than in place of, Federal performance of these duties.
- (c) To the extent permitted by law, the Secretary may structure each agreement under section 287(g) of the INA in a manner that provides the most effective model for enforcing Federal immigration laws for that jurisdiction.
- Sec. 9. Sanctuary Jurisdictions. It is the policy of the executive branch to ensure, to the fullest extent of the law, that a State, or a political subdivision of a State, shall comply with 8 U.S.C. 1373.
- (a) In furtherance of this policy, the Attorney General and the Secretary, in their discretion and to the extent consistent with law, shall ensure that jurisdictions that willfully refuse to comply with 8 U.S.C. 1373 (sanctuary jurisdictions) are not eligible to receive Federal grants, except as deemed necessary for law enforcement purposes by the Attorney General or the Secretary. The Secretary has the authority to designate, in his discretion and to the extent consistent with law, a jurisdiction as a sanctuary jurisdiction. The Attorney General shall take appropriate enforcement action against any entity that violates 8 U.S.C. 1373, or which has in effect a statute, policy, or practice that prevents or hinders the enforcement of Federal law.

- (b) To better inform the public regarding the public safety threats associated with sanctuary jurisdictions, the Secretary shall utilize the Declined Detainer Outcome Report or its equivalent and, on a weekly basis, make public a comprehensive list of criminal actions committed by aliens and any jurisdiction that ignored or otherwise failed to honor any detainers with respect to such aliens.
- (c) The Director of the Office of Management and Budget is directed to obtain and provide relevant and responsive information on all Federal grant money that currently is received by any sanctuary jurisdiction.
- Sec. 10. Review of Previous Immigration Actions and Policies. (a) The Secretary shall immediately take all appropriate action to terminate the Priority Enforcement Program (PEP) described in the memorandum issued by the Secretary on November 20, 2014, and to reinstitute the immigration program known as "Secure Communities" referenced in that memorandum.
- (b) The Secretary shall review agency regulations, policies, and procedures for consistency with this order and, if required, publish for notice and comment proposed regulations rescinding or revising any regulations inconsistent with this order and shall consider whether to withdraw or modify any inconsistent policies and procedures, as appropriate and consistent with the law.
- (c) To protect our communities and better facilitate the identification, detention, and removal of criminal aliens within constitutional and statutory parameters, the Secretary shall consolidate and revise any applicable forms to more effectively communicate with recipient law enforcement agencies.
- Sec. 11. Department of Justice Prosecutions of Immigration Violators. The Attorney General and the Secretary shall work together to develop and implement a program that ensures that adequate resources are devoted to the prosecution of criminal immigration offenses in the United States, and to develop cooperative strategies to reduce violent crime and the reach of transnational criminal organizations into the United States.
- Sec. 12. Recalcitrant Countries. The Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State shall cooperate to effectively implement the sanctions provided by section 243(d) of the INA (8 U.S.C. 1253(d)), as appropriate. The Secretary of State shall, to the maximum extent permitted by law, ensure that diplomatic efforts and negotiations with foreign states include as a condition precedent the acceptance by those foreign states of their nationals who are subject to removal from the United States.
- Sec. 13. Office for Victims of Crimes Committed by Removable Aliens. The Secretary shall direct the Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement to take all appropriate and lawful action to establish within U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement an office to provide proactive, timely, adequate, and professional services to victims of crimes committed by removable aliens and the family members of such victims. This office shall provide quarterly reports studying the effects of the victimization by criminal aliens present in the United States.

- Sec. 14. Privacy Act. Agencies shall, to the extent consistent with applicable law, ensure that their privacy policies exclude persons who are not United States citizens or lawful permanent residents from the protections of the Privacy Act regarding personally identifiable information.
- Sec. 15. Reporting. Except as otherwise provided in this order, the Secretary and the Attorney General shall each submit to the President a report on the progress of the directives contained in this order within 90 days of the date of this order and again within 180 days of the date of this order.
- Sec. 16. Transparency. To promote the transparency and situational awareness of criminal aliens in the United States, the Secretary and the Attorney General are hereby directed to collect relevant data and provide quarterly reports on the following:
- (a) the immigration status of all aliens incarcerated under the supervision of the Federal Bureau of Prisons;
- (b) the immigration status of all aliens incarcerated as Federal pretrial detainees under the supervision of the United States Marshals Service; and
- (c) the immigration status of all convicted aliens incarcerated in State prisons and local detention centers throughout the United States.
- Sec. 17. Personnel Actions. The Office of Personnel Management shall take appropriate and lawful action to facilitate hiring personnel to implement this order.
- Sec. 18. General Provisions. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:
- (i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or
- (ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.
- (b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.
- (c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

DONALD J. TRUMP

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 25, 2017.

From:

Sent:

January-30-17 12:53 PM

To: Cc:

Subject:

RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Thanks

To recap

Nil response for HR, however as if an employee would be stuck at customs or detained we would be applying the collective agreement. And proper travel policies.

For your info in case HR wants a copy on the high side too.



Executive Order - PROTECTING T...

Thanks,

From:

Sent: January-30-17 12:38 PM

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi

Regardless of the Executive Order, if an employee would be stuck at customs or detained we would be applying the collective agreement. And proper travel policies.

From:

On Behalf Of

Sent: January-30-17 11:21 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Hello,
I contacted . Yes the executive order is related to banning those from the identified Middle Eastern countries, however, we could have people working at CSE with dual citizenship traveling abroad.
Here's further information from
Good morning,
The Chief's Office has requested a short assessment (in bullets) on the <u>impact the recent US Executive Orders may have</u> on CSE's <u>employees and operations</u> , particularly the Executive Order on travel ban from certain countries. Due date is end of day today.
Strategic Policy has been tasked to coordinate and consolidate responses from across CSE, and we are reaching out to all DC HQs to seek assistance. I have copied OPIs from Security and HR who were on the original tasking email for their situational awareness.
We would be extremely grateful for your business line's input by 1500h today to both myself and Executive Orders are not relevant to your business line, NIL responses would be appreciated.
For operational impact, if relevant we would like to suggest keeping the material at high level and focussing on issues related to security and intelligence, i.e.:
Apologies for the very short turn around. If you have any questions, please do not to hesitate to reach out.
Many thanks,
It's CSE, not CSEC.
Senior Advisor, Strategic Policy Strategic Policy and Planning, CSE
Green: Black:
From: Sent: January-30-17 10:51 AM To: Cc: Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Isn't the executive order related to banning those from the identified Middle Eastern countries?

From:

Sent: January-30-17 10:49 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

It may be problematic for the ones whose country of origin is NOT Canada despite being Canadian citizens...not sure if we have any employees in that position.

Manager, Staffing Programs, HR Policies and Foreign Services Gestionnaire, Programmes de dotation, politiques de RH et service à l'étranger Gr/vert:

From:

Sent: January-30-17 10:45 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Likewise for integrees & CANSLO staff who may be returning to HQ for personal reasons (FSD 8's coming for weekend travel) & House Hunting Trips or end of postings. Though I would assume would be limited given they are Canadian citizens.

From:

Sent: January-30-17 10:35 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

We may have employees posted or deployed to the US or in travel transition in the US who may be affected...but like indicates, it's hard to know the exact impact as these will be case specific.

Manager, Staffing Programs, HR Policies and Foreign Services Gestionnaire, Programmes de dotation, politiques de RH et service à l'étranger Gr/vert:

From:

Sent: January-30-17 10:25 AM

To:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi

There could be impacts on the application of the collective agreement and other travel regulations in the event our employees would be stuck in an airport (based on their country of origin). However I would not list them in communication to employees.

If there was to be such situation, it would be assess on a case-by-case basis.

Thanks

From: On Behalf Of

Sent: January-30-17 10:00 AM

To:

Subject: FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hello,

Please see the request below and advise.

Thank you,

Executive Assistant DGHR Ajointe Exécutive, DGRH

7

2

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

Jones, Scott

UNCLASSIFIED

From:

Sent: January-30-17 9:40 AM

To: Rochon, Dominic J; Millar, Scott D;

E; Bruce, Shelly D

Cc:

Cunning, Anna M

Subject: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi,

Could PolCom (consulting with DCCS/Security/HR and others as needed) produce a short assessment of the impact, if any, on the travel ban to the US and other recent executive orders?

Are there any of our employees who could be impacted? Any impact

Just some bullets by email will suffice. Can we get it by end of day if possible?

Thanks,

Senior Advisor//Conseiller principal to EO

Green: Black:

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

s.15(1) - DEF s.19(1)

UNCLASSIFIED

From:

Sent:

January-30-17 2:25 PM

To:

Subject:

FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

See response from

nothing from

yet. Going to chase him now.

From

No direct impact known at this time for

We are checking

Thx,

From

One of the

staff is dual citizen:

not one of the listed countries so would not expect

there to be an issue.

She is current on a 3 month assignment to NSA and actually flew back from a weekend home yesterday without incident.

So cautious but feel there should not be any issue.

From:

Sent: January-30-17 1:37 PM

To:

Subject: RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

So as I understand it the EO was clarified, or Canada negotiated a position, that those with citizenship are exempted. Since we require employees to be Canadian or 5Eyes citizens there should be no impact. Regardless, no impact on

s.15(1) - DEF

UNCLASSIFIED



From:

Sent: January-30-17 1:10 PM

To:

Subject: FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

All,

We received the following tasking for Shelly's office. Can you please let me know if the US Executive Order on travel ban from certain countries will have an impact on your staff/work.

Response due to me by 2pm

thanks

From:

Sent: January-30-17 11:11 AM

To:

Subject: FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi gals,

Could you please coordinate a response from your DG area by 14:30 today? I will consolidate SIGNIT's response and provide it to Strat Pol by 15:00.

Thank you!

DC SIGINT Executive Office and Executive Assistant

@cse-cst.gc.ca

From:

Sent: January-30-17 11:06 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Good morning,

The Chief's Office has requested a short assessment (in bullets) on the <u>impact the recent US Executive Orders may have on CSE's employees and operations</u>, particularly the Executive Order on travel ban from certain countries. Due date is end of day today.

Strategic Policy has been tasked to coordinate and consolidate responses from across CSE, and we are reaching out to all DC HQs to seek assistance. I have copied OPIs from Security and HR who were on the original tasking email for their situational awareness.

We would be extremely grateful for your business line's input by 1500h today to both myself and Executive Orders are not relevant to your business line, NIL responses would be appreciated.

If the

For operational impact, if relevant we would like to suggest keeping the material at high level and focussing on issues related to security and intelligence, i.e.:

Apologies for the very short turn around. If you have any questions, please do not to hesitate to reach out.

Many thanks,

It's CSE, not CSEC.

Senior Advisor, Strategic Policy Strategic Policy and Planning, CSE

Green:

Black:

From:

Sent: January-30-17 9:40 AM

To: Rochon, Dominic J; Millar, Scott D;

E; Bruce, Shelly D

Cc:

Jones, Scott

Cunning, Anna M

Subject: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi,

Could PolCom (consulting with DCCS/Security/HR and others as needed) produce a short assessment of the impact, if any, on the travel ban to the US and other recent executive orders?

Are there any of our employees who could be impacted? Any impact

Just some bullets by email will suffice. Can we get it by end of day if possible?

Thanks,

Senior Advisor//Conseiller principal to EO

Green:

Black:

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

s.15(1) - DEF s.21(1)(b)

TOP SECRET//SI//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

From:		
Sent:	January-30-17 2:55 PM	
To:	DE Francision Ondon Enhancing Dublic Cofety in the Interior of the United Ctates	
Subject:	RE: Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States	
Classification: TOP SECR	RET//SI//CANADIAN EYES ONLY	
(C//CEO) When I read this section of the Order, I don't interpret it in any way that the U.S. will undertake activities against Five-eyes partners. Without knowing exactly what is in the U.S. Privacy Act, my interpretation would be that U.S. government agencies are to ensure that information about foreigners (e.g., names, etc.) is not withheld or otherwise protected. I'm sure that the U.S. version of the privacy act is similar to Canada's, where personally identifiable information about individuals is protected by government entities. In this case, the protections would only apply to U.S. citizens or permanent residents of the U.S.		
(TS//SI//CEO) As for		
,,		
=======================================	=======================================	
Communications Security Establi	ishment (CSE)	
,	` '	
Secure Phone:		
Unclas Phone:		
From: Sent: January-30-17 12:05 PM		
To: Subject: DE: Evecutive Order: E	Inhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States	
Subject. N.L. Executive Order. L	initializing rubile Salety in the Interior of the Office States	
Classification: CONFIDEN	NTIAL/CANADIAN EYES ONLY	
I have not heard anything from our direct counterparts to that effect.		
not near a any time nome	and the same of th	
Director SPR		

From:

Sent: January-30-17 12:02 PM

To:

Subject: RE: Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

It was a casual question from one of my staff. Essentially the question was "does this mean that the US will not abide by the agreement that we do not undertake activities against our partners?"

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

From:

Sent: January-30-17 11:59 AM

TO:

Subject: RE: Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

Who is the question from? Questions about privacy policies are handled by D group...

Director SPR

From:

Sent: January-30-17 10:28 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

I received a question on how this Executive Order (attached) from President Trump will affect us. In particular Section 14:

"Privacy Act. Agencies shall, to the extent consistent with applicable law, ensure that their privacy policies exclude persons who are not United States citizens or lawful permanent residents from the protections of the Privacy Act regarding personally identifiable information."

Do we have a position?	
<< File: The White House.docx >>	
<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>	

From: Sent: To:	January-30-17 3:06 PM
Subject:	RE: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders
Classification	: TOP SECRET//SI//CANADIAN EYES ONLY
is a Canadian citiz	ONLY) As far as we can determine, the travel ban should have no impact Everyone en (in some cases dual Canadian and other), but the so long as they are traveling on a Canadian mption is that any travel to the U.S. shouldn't be an issue.
(U/OFFICIAL USE	ONLY)
(TS//SI/CEO) For 6	example,
	=======================================
Communications	Security Establishment (CSE)
Secure Phone: Unclas Phone:	
From: Sent: January-30 To: Subject: FW: EO Importance: Hig	Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders
Classification	: UNCLASSIFIED
Please see	request below.
Thanks	

From:

Sent: January-30-17 11:11 AM

To:

Subject: FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi gals,

Could you please coordinate a response from your DG area by 14:30 today? I will consolidate SIGNIT's response and provide it to Strat Pol by 15:00.

Thank you!

DC SIGINT Executive Office and Executive Assistant

@cse-cst.gc.ca

From:

Sent: January-30-17 11:06 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: FW: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Good morning,

The Chief's Office has requested a short assessment (in bullets) on the <u>impact the recent US Executive Orders may have on CSE's employees and operations</u>, particularly the Executive Order on travel ban from certain countries. Due date is end of day today.

Strategic Policy has been tasked to coordinate and consolidate responses from across CSE, and we are reaching out to all DC HQs to seek assistance. I have copied OPIs from Security and HR who were on the original tasking email for their situational awareness.

We would be extremely grateful for your business line's input by 1500h today to both myself and Executive Orders are not relevant to your business line, NIL responses would be appreciated.

If the

For operational impact, if relevant we would like to suggest keeping the material at high level and focussing on issues related to security and intelligence, i.e.:

Apologies for the very short turn around. If you have any questions, please do not to hesitate to reach out.

Many thanks,

It's CSE, not CSEC.

Senior Advisor, Strategic Policy Strategic Policy and Planning, CSE

Green:

Black:

From:

Sent: January-30-17 9:40 AM

To: Rochon, Dominic J; Millar, Scott D;

E; Bruce, Shelly D

Cc:

Cunning, Anna M

Subject: EO Task 17-009: Impacts of recent US Executive Orders

Jones, Scott

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi,

Could PolCom (consulting with DCCS/Security/HR and others as needed) produce a short assessment of the impact, if any, on the travel ban to the US and other recent executive orders?

Are there any of our employees who could be impacted? Any impact

Just some bullets by email will suffice. Can we get it by end of day if possible?

Thanks,

Senior Advisor//Conseiller principal to EO

Green: Black:

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

Pages 27 to / à 32 are withheld pursuant to section sont retenues en vertu de l'article

69(1)(e)

of the Access to Information de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information

Pages 33 to / à 46 are withheld pursuant to section sont retenues en vertu de l'article

69(1)(e)

of the Access to Information de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information